## STAUFFER CHEMICAL COMPANY AND AFFILIATED COMPANIES APPROPRIATION REQUEST

Parkage autors and minimum regulation		A. R.	. NO <u>a</u> y	2~1 <u>%</u>	(1-13-1300 M) rest carbonic restaurant mentanana mentanana res	
CLASSIFICATION: NEW ADDITION REPLACEMENT MAINTENANCE		DATE AMC				
		ACI DEP				
IEF DESCRIPTION	N OF PROJECT	_Install_s&&	a Lamolti	perato	ranid_storage_tanl	
STIMATED ANNUAL SAVINGS:		YEAF	YEARS REQUIRED TO RECOVER COST:  AFTER TAXES  OF RETURN ON INVESTMENT  OF RETURN ON SALES  ride additional said storage  CONTINGENT BUDGET NO.			
		<b>%</b> S	OF RETURN OF RETURN	ON INVE	TMBNT 2	
THER REASONS I	for request	Ioprovidaa:	dditional.		<b>****</b>	
IF NOT BUI	ngeted indicat Nace and a second	ie by an "x" f Deeviaiiiv	1646			
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI ODITIONAL DATA	NOT APPROVE NG DATE8/ A ON PAGES	D?	ivious a. r imated co rough	NO. MPLETION	IDATE 11/A/SA C	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI	NOT APPROVEI /,88	D?	:YIOUS A. R IMATED CO	NO. MPLETION	ATTACHED	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DATA ROSTS TO	NOT APPROVE NG DATE8/ A ON PAGES	D?	ivious a. r imated co rough	NO. MPLETION	I DATE _11 A / Si2 C	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DAT/ 2007: 10	NOT APPROVE NG DATE8/ A ON PAGES	D?	ivious a. r imated co rough	NO. MPLETION	IDATE 13/A/SS ATTACHED 13 ATTACHED 13 ATTACHED 13 ATTACHED 13 ATTACHED 15 ATTA	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DATA 2007: TO A. B. VIII-OR Ordensi Start P. Rodinborg	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	ivious a. r imated co rough	NO. MPLETION	ATTACHED  OBTEMENTION  1.770316003	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DATA 2007: TO A. B. VIII-OR Original Signa F. Northbory	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	ivious a. r imated co rough	NO. MPLETION	DATE 11/1/51 ATTACHED  SISTEMUTICAL  1.77016015 1.77016015	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DATA 2007: TO A. B. VIII-OR Original Signa F. Northbory	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	ivious a. r imated co rough	NO. MPLETION	ATTACHED  OBSTREETITON  1. Prod. Sans  1. Prod. Sans  4. Sans  4. Sans  4. Sans  1.	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DATA 2007: TO A. B. VIII-OR Ordensi Start P. Rodinborg	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	EVIOUS A. R IMATED CO ROUGH	NO. MPLETION	DATE 11/1/51 ATTACHED  SISTEMUTICAL  1.77016015 1.77016015	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DATA 2007: TO A. B. VIII-OR Ordensi Start P. Rodinborg	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	EVIOUS A. R IMATED CO ROUGH	NO. MPLETION	ATTACHED  OBSTREETITON  1. Prod. Sans  1. Prod. Sans  4. Sans  4. Sans  4. Sans  1.	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DATA 2007: TO A. B. VIII-OR Ordensi Start P. Rodinborg	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	EVIOUS A. R IMATED CO ROUGH	NO. MPLETION	ATTACHED  OBSTREETITON  1. Prod. Sans  1. Prod. Sans  4. Sans  4. Sans  4. Sans  1.	
SUBMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DDITIONAL DAT/ 2012 TO 4 - 8 - 744 - 42 Parti 3 - 10 H - 10 H D P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	EVIOUS A. R IMATED CO ROUGH	NO. MPLETION	ATTACHED  Sestemation  1.700.0000  1.700.0	
SUMMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTI DOTTONAL DAT/ 20072 TO Av 8x 944xex Organi 34m; Av 8x 944xex Mar 8x 944xex	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	EVIOUS A. R IMATED CO ROUGH	NO. MPLETION	ATTACHED  SHOTEMUTICS  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001  1.70016001	
SUMMITTED BUT OPOSED STARTIO DOTTIONAL DATA 20072 TO 4 - 8 - 714 - 02 Organi 3 lance 2 - 10 March 2 lance 2 - 10 M	NOT APPROVEING DATE	D?	EVIOUS A. R IMATED CO ROUGH	NO. MPLETION	ATTACHED  OBSTREEDTTON  1. SELECTION  1. SEL	

Form 88-6 -- 276-1-64-1056 (1-2)

U.S., et al. v. Montrose, et	t al.
U.S.D.C. Case No. CV 90-	3122-R
Plaintiffs' Exhibit No.	532
Date Presented:	
Date Admitted:	222

## 1) Description of Proposed Nork

This appropriation request is for the installation of an additional separator acid storage tank which will operate in series

The principle work required is as follows:

- a) Install a 22,000 gallon atorage tank on concrete saddles and a concrete foundation.
  - b) Provide necessary pumps, valves, piping and gauges.
  - c) Install stairways and platform as asseted.

# 2) Inudequacy or Heplacement of Present Facilities

At the present time one 22,000 gallon tank serves as storage for separator acid. This acid comes from the glass lined separators where the acid is separated by gravity from the less dense molten DDF. Under morsal conditions, only traces of DDF are entrained in the acid. If the separators are turbulent, large quantities of DDF are earlied over with the acid. When this happens, on top of the acid.

This said is presently either shipped to Menderson or out to sea. Normally the said shipped is essentially free of DDT. During a period of unbalance, the separator said storage tank is susceptible to a very rupid assumulation of DDT. As the amount of DDT builds up in this tank the % of DDT in the shipped said increases. This varies from a trace to % OFT.

Installation of a second separator acid storage tank would insure a minimum loss of DDF to see because the present tank would remain full and permit any DDF to separate out. The DDF acid would be drawn off of the bottom by means of a florentine leg before flowing to the new tank. Thus all of the DDF would settle out in the existing tank which would be cleaned as often as necessary. The new tank would be used to unload acid for shipment.

## 3) Additional Vse of Flant Pacilities

This project will not put any additional burden on any of the plant facilities.

# 4) Alternatives to This Appropriation Request

liono suggested.

Page 2

\$10,050.00

## 5) Betimated Cost of Project

<b>&amp;</b> )	Concrete foundation	£2500.00
b)	Separator acid storage tank	3000.00
a) d)	Acid piping, walves and gauges Pump, motor and electrical	1100.00
-	connection	1000.00
*)	Stairway and platform	600.00
*) f)	Crane service	150.00
g)	Contingencies	900.00
	Total	\$10.050.00

## 6) Estimated Annual Savings or Rarnings

During a recent 6 week period when the accumulation of DUT in the separator acid storage tank was high, samples were taken on half of the shipments sent to sea. Each sample was analysed for \$ DDT. The amount lost was 15,000 pounds of DDT, and the total amount lost to sea during this period was 30,000

No checks were made of acid shipped to sea before this period. Assuming one period a year as described above, and a loss of 10,000 pounds over the rest of the year, it makes the annual loss of DDT to sea at 10,000 pounds. The approximate value of DDT in this phase of our operation is .log per pound, making a gross annual saving of \$4,000.00.

This equipment would have a life of approximately 3 years.

Savings per year \$4,000.00 Less depreciation 3,350.00 Savings before taxes 650.00/year Savings after taxes (53.75%) 310.00/year

years required to recover cost after taxen;

(33504310) \* 2.75 7\*\*\*\*

## 7) <u>Uther Considerations</u>

a) Additional acid storage space. At the present time we are running low of acid storage space. There is only one 10,000 gallor storage tank left. This is used when ever a tank is being cleared or rapaired. If there were two tanks that needed repair at the same time, we would undoubtedly loss some operating time.

Page 3

b) full Fecovery. A pilot plant is being build for this pur, ro. A second separator acid storage tank would insure a clean acid apply for these experiments and for the future acid recovery plant.

e) Salable acid. Having a supply of clean, Dir-free separator acid would make this product much wore salable if the proper customer can be found.

B. I. Hraster

BIH:pm

rage 4